



Complaints Policy and Procedure for Financial Capability Qualifications

The purpose of the Complaints Policy and Procedure for Financial Capability Qualifications is to advise The London Institute of Banking & Finance approved centres of the service level that they can expect to receive and The London Institute of Banking & Finance will deal with their complaint. It also explains how The London Institute of Banking & Finance monitors complaints periodically and reviews this policy.


Through the Complaints Policy and Procedure, The London Institute of Banking & Finance ensures that:¹

- complaints are treated fairly, consistently, transparently, and in a timely manner;
- it takes appropriate actions with regard to the complainant and other learners where complaints reveal any failure on the part of The London Institute of Banking & Finance;
- it reviews aspects of its service in the light of any complaints; and
- it maintains duties of confidentiality to third parties, or other legal duties, in responding to complaints.²

Summary of Policy

A complaint is defined as an expression of dissatisfaction with a product or service delivered by The London Institute of Banking & Finance. Centres³ that are dissatisfied with procedures that may have an impact on a grade or performance (such as issues of malpractice, results enquiries, access arrangements and special consideration), should first be referred to The London Institute of Banking & Finance’s [Appeals Policy](#).

The key stages in the Complaints Policy are set out in the diagram below.



¹ In compliance with the regulator’s *General Conditions of Recognition*, conditions D3.2, D4 and I2.


² *General Conditions of Recognition*, condition D4.2.

³ Centres are organisations that are involved with any part of the delivery of qualifications on behalf of The London Institute of Banking & Finance. Centres may be schools, colleges or any other approved venue where the delivery of qualifications, including teaching and / or assessments, leading to an The London Institute of Banking & Finance qualification is conducted.

Section A - The complaint handling process

- 1.1 A complaint made by a centre should be made as soon as possible but ideally no later than ten working days from the date of the incident occurring. The London Institute of Banking & Finance reserves the right to dismiss a complaint brought more than three months after the incident occurred or that should, within reason, have been highlighted at an earlier point. The London Institute of Banking & Finance will deal with all complaints as promptly as possible and within clearly defined timescales. Where it is not possible to meet these service standards, centres will be informed of the progress of their complaint
- 1.2 The stages for handling a complaint are as set out in **Figure 1** below.

Figure 1: The complaints handling process



- 1.3 The London Institute of Banking & Finance employees who are involved in resolving complaints are trained in the service standards of The London Institute of Banking & Finance.

- 1.4** All complaints will be treated appropriately, through dialogue and mutual understanding, with regard to applicable law, and in line with The London Institute of Banking & Finance's [Equality and Diversity Policy](#). The London Institute of Banking & Finance will provide sufficient opportunity for any complaint to be fully discussed and resolved and such issues will be treated in confidence and with impartiality.
- 1.5** The London Institute of Banking & Finance expects centres making complaints or enquiries via any medium to act with respect, courtesy, and in a professional manner towards The London Institute of Banking & Finance staff facilitating their complaint. If a complainant is abusive or vexatious to The London Institute of Banking & Finance staff, The London Institute of Banking & Finance will warn the complainant of their behaviour and reserves the right to discontinue their current form of communication.
- 1.6** The London Institute of Banking & Finance reserves the right to exclude or remove a centre from a programme of learning if they make repeated, unfounded or vexatious appeals and / or complaints regarding the programme and / or its delivery.
- 1.7** Complaints will not be upheld where the centre complains about something that has been made clear in the terms and conditions or policies that the centre has accepted. Therefore, the complainant is advised to familiarise themselves with all of the course and / or assessment terms and conditions, policies, deadlines or other requirements before making a complaint.
- 1.8** At any stage the centre and The London Institute of Banking & Finance are each entitled to seek independent advice, as appropriate, in respect of a complaint.
- 1.9** At each stage of the complaints process one of the following outcomes will apply:
- A complaint is upheld (in part or in full). Where appropriate, some form of action is taken.
 - A complaint is not upheld. Reasons for this decision will be given clearly to the complainant.
- At the end of each stage in the process the complainant may accept the outcome or they may choose to progress their complaint to the next relevant stage, if they have the grounds to do so.
- 1.10** Where, through a complaint, The London Institute of Banking & Finance learns of a failure in its processes, the actions taken to resolve this may also include those that apply to other learners and/or to improvements to The London Institute of Banking & Finance processes
- 1.11** A centre cannot make an appeal as well as a complaint against the same incident. Centres wishing to appeal against decisions relating to their assessment results or arrangements should refer to the [appeals policy](#) or, in the case of an enquiry about the marking of their assessment, make an [assessment result enquiry](#).
- 1.12** Where a student has a complaint about an approved centre, they should make full use of the centre's complaints process, if appropriate. However, where the complaint is about alleged centre malpractice

or maladministration, this can also be dealt with using The London Institute of Banking & Finance Centre Malpractice and Maladministration Policy, which makes provision for handling such allegations, including whistleblowing.

Section B – Stage One (Informal resolution)

- 2.1 The London Institute of Banking & Finance will always attempt to resolve a complaint at this stage in the first instance. In doing so the complaint will be investigated and there will be an attempt to reach a solution that satisfies both parties.
- 2.2 All correspondence will be responded to clearly, concisely, and in a timely manner. Centres should supply The London Institute of Banking & Finance with their centre number and any other applicable information and evidence.
- 2.3 There may be occasions where it is not appropriate to resolve a complaint informally. Depending on the nature of the complaint, a centre may be advised to proceed to stage two from the outset. In such a situation the centre will be advised of how their complaint will need to be progressed.

Section C – Stage Two (Formal resolution)

- 3.1 If The London Institute of Banking & Finance is unable to satisfactorily resolve the complaint informally, in the first instance, the centre should address their complaint in writing to:

The Complaints Officer

Administrative Centre:

4–9 Burgate Lane
Canterbury
Kent
CT1 2XJ
England

E : complaints@libf.ac.uk

- 3.2 When formally writing to the Complaints Officer the individual must ensure that they clearly state the reason(s) for the complaint as well as identify what resolution they are seeking..
- 3.3 Throughout the formal process the Complaints Officer will act as the main point of contact for the complainant and will keep them informed of the timescales, progress and outcome(s) of their complaint.
- 3.4 The Complaints Officer will confirm receipt of a formal complaint within five working days; notifying the complainant of the process that will be undertaken and the timescale within which they expect the outcome of the complaint investigation to be communicated in. The Complaints Officer may be able to resolve the complaint at this stage.

- 3.5** If the Complaints Officer is unable to resolve the complaint at this time they will inform the centre that it will be reviewed by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group.

Complaints and Appeals Review Group

- 4.1** If a complainant is not satisfied with the decision that has been made with respect to their complaint, they are entitled to escalate their complaint to the Complaints and Appeals Review Group.
- 4.2** The complainant will be advised of the proposed date for their complaint to be heard by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group, and of their right to request to attend.
- 4.3** The standard of proof required at any consideration by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group shall be the balance of probabilities.
- 4.4** The Complaints and Appeals Review Group is held on a regular basis and will normally consider a complaint within 20 working days of the case being referred by the Complaints Officer.
- 4.5** Depending on the nature of the complaint the Complaints and Appeals Group may not be able to propose a solution to a complaint it has upheld and in this situation it will inform the department best placed to address the issue of the complaint and request that a resolution is provided. The Complaints Officer will provide written confirmation of the Complaints and Appeals Review Group decision and any action(s) to be taken, including timescales, within ten working days of the case being heard.

Section D – Stage Three (Complaint escalation)

Referral to Operating Committee level review panel

- 5.1** If the complainant is dissatisfied with the outcome determined by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group they can request a hearing by the Operating Committee level review panel, within ten working days of receipt of the written confirmation of the Complaints and Appeals Review Group decision. Such a review may only be requested on one or more of the following grounds:
- that the procedure adopted by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group was defective; or
 - that the action taken or solution provided was unreasonable in light of the decision of the Complaints and Appeals Review Group; or
 - that new evidence that could not have been produced to the Complaints and Appeals Review Group has since come to light.
- 5.2** The reasons for requesting a review must relate to the way the complaint has been investigated or dealt with; a review will not be considered if the nature of the complaint is merely restated.

- 5.3** The London Institute of Banking & Finance reserves the right to reject any review against a Complaints and Appeals Review Group decision that does not fall within the timescale stated in 5.1 above or fails to provide appropriate grounds for escalation. .
- 5.4** If a review proceeds, the Complaints Officer will advise the complainant of all timescales related to the review panel process and formal consideration will be undertaken by a review panel which will include at least one member of the Operating Committee. The panel will be chaired by an individual who has no direct involvement with the complaint. The complainant or any representative of the complainant is not permitted to be present at this panel review.
- 5.5** The complainant must submit to the Operating Committee level review panel a case in writing outlining the grounds they believe they have for escalation of their complaint. The review panel may request any further information it deems necessary to progress the investigation of the complaint. If the review panel agrees that the individual has sufficient grounds for escalation, it will review the complaint. In such a situation, the review panel has the power to overturn the outcome determined by the Complaints and Appeals Review Group.
- 5.6** If the review panel reviews a complaint on the grounds that new evidence has come to light since the original case was heard, the review panel shall have the power to direct the Complaints and Appeals Review Group to reconsider its original outcome, taking into consideration the new evidence. The rights of the complainant to escalate the complaint shall apply equally to the reconsideration by the Complaints Review Group.
- 5.7** At any stage if a complaint is upheld then The London Institute of Banking & Finance will undertake to offer appropriate remedial action depending on the nature of the complaint. Regardless of the outcome of the complaint, the complainant may be asked to cover their own costs.
- 5.8** If a request for a review is rejected and all internal complaints and / or review procedures are exhausted, The London Institute of Banking & Finance will issue the complainant with a close of procedure letter stating that the complaints / review process has been exhausted. The case may then be eligible for consideration by the regulators (Ofqual, Qualifications Wales or CCEA).

External referral

- 6.1** In certain circumstances, and where The London Institute of Banking & Finance complaints processes have been exhausted, a complaint may be made to the regulators within the terms of their complaints policy. The London Institute of Banking & Finance will comply with the complaints process established by the regulators and will give due regard to the outcome of any complaints process in relation to a qualification which The London Institute of Banking & Finance delivers.
- 6.2** As with all other stages, if, as a result of the regulator's complaints process, The London Institute of Banking & Finance learns of a failure in its assessment process, The London Institute of Banking & Finance will take reasonable steps to:

- i. identify other centres or students who have been affected by this failure;
- ii. correct or, where it cannot be corrected, mitigate as far as possible the effect of the failure; and,
- iii. make sure that the failure does not occur again.

Section E – Quality assurance, monitoring and review

- 7.1** Records of all complaints, and their outcomes, are maintained by The London Institute of Banking & Finance for a period of at least five years. Complaints are monitored by the Operating Committee in line with its terms of reference.
- 7.2** Any failings discovered or lessons learned during the course of a complaint or the monitoring of complaints, will be acted upon as part of continuous review of The London Institute of Banking & Finance qualifications and processes.
- 7.3** The policy and the procedures are subject to regular monitoring and review by The London Institute of Banking & Finance. The London Institute of Banking & Finance continually reviews its practices to maintain the highest possible standards of consistency and quality.
- 7.4** The policy and procedures are formally approved by The London Institute of Banking & Finance’s Complaints and Appeals Review Group.
- 7.5** The policy and procedures have been developed to comply with all relevant legislation and have been externally benchmarked.
- 7.6** The London Institute of Banking & Finance is subject to regulation by the qualifications regulatory authorities, Ofqual, Qualifications Wales and CCEA, as a recognised awarding organisation, and by the FCA, as an accredited body.⁴
- 7.7** In the event that Ofqual, Qualifications Wales or CCEA advises The London Institute of Banking & Finance of failures that have been discovered in similar assessment processes being offered by other awarding organisations, The London Institute of Banking & Finance will review its own assessment processes. If, as a result of this review, The London Institute of Banking & Finance finds a potential failure, it will take the same action as if a failure had been discovered by virtue of the application of the regulator’s complaints process.

⁴ The London Institute of Banking & Finance Higher Education students should refer to the Higher Education regulations as Higher Education courses are regulated by the QAA and complaints are escalated externally to the OIA.